

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

3 HADLEY ANGELIC SNIDER,

4 Plaintiff

5 v.

6 AARON FORD,

7 Defendant

Case No.: 2:23-cv-02013-APG-MDC


**Order Accepting Report and
Recommendation**

[ECF No. 7]

8 On April 16, 2024, Magistrate Judge Couvillier recommended that I dismiss this case
9 because plaintiff Hadley Snider did not file an amended complaint by the given deadline. ECF
10 No. 7. Snider did not object. Thus, I am not obligated to conduct a de novo review of the report
11 and recommendation. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) (requiring district courts to “make a de novo
12 determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings to which objection is
13 made”); *United States v. Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003) (en banc) (“the
14 district judge must review the magistrate judge’s findings and recommendations de novo *if*
15 *objection is made*, but not otherwise” (emphasis in original)).

16 I THEREFORE ORDER that Magistrate Judge Couvillier’s report and recommendation
17 (ECF No. 7) is accepted, and this case is dismissed without prejudice. The clerk of court is
18 instructed to close this case.

19 DATED this 6th day of May, 2024.

20 
21 ANDREW P. GORDON
22 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
23